

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,064	12/12/2003	Veronique Barban	API-02-14-US 1266		
7590 01/05/2005			EXAMINER		
Patrick J. Halloran			CHEN, STACY BROWN		
Aventis Pasteu Knerr Building	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Swiftwater, PA		1648			
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/735,06	4	BARBAN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Stacy B Ch		1648				
1 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	idress			
THE MA - Extensio after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rick for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start or received by the Office later than three months after the maximum term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no eve reply within the statu iod will apply and will itute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONEC	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status .								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 12	December 20	<u>103</u> .					
2a)∐ Th	nis action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is no	on-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims			-				
4a 5)☐ Cl 6)☐ Cl 7)☐ Cl	4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	Papers							
•	e specification is objected to by the Exam							
	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
	eplacement drawing sneet(s) including the corr e oath or declaration is objected to by the		- ·					
Priority und	ier 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Ac a) 1. 1. 2.	knowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ents have beer ents have beer riority docume eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Informat	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/o(s)/Mail Date	(08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa		0-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/735,064

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14, 16, 17, 19-21 and 24-26 drawn to a method of making an ALVAC virus, classified in class 435, subclass 235.1.
 - II. Claims 15, 18, 22, 23, 27 and 28, drawn to an ALVAC virus, classified in class 424, subclass 232.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the virus can be isolated from a naturally infected source.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. A search for the product and the process of making the product would be a serious burden because literature that speaks to the virus itself will not necessarily disclose processes for making the virus. For example, a reference may teach the isolation of the virus from a sample, but not a method of propagating that virus.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to

Application/Control Number: 10/735,064

Art Unit: 1648

a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

2. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In*

Application/Control Number: 10/735,064

Art Unit: 1648

§ 804.01.

re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996).

Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy,

Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to

maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the

product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note
that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where
the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP

Conclusion

3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy B. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-0896. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James C. Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Stacy B. Chen

December 28, 2004

Stary B. Chen